

In re Patent Application of

OSTFELD et al.

Atty. Ref.: 4110-27; Confirmation No. 5626

Appl. No. 10/074,017

TC/A.U. 3763

Examiner: M. DeSanto

Filed: February 14, 2002

For: INDWELLING DEVICE

February 24, 2005

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## **RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

In response to the Office Action dated January 25, 2005, holding the subject matter of Species B - Figures 2a-2c to be patentably distinct from that of Species A - Figures 1a-1d; Species C - Figures 3a-3d; Species D - Figures 4a, 4b; Species E - Figures 5a, 5b; Species F - Figures 7a, 7b; and Species G - Figures 8a-8b, Applicants hereby elect the invention of Species B - Figures 2a-2c for further substantive examination. Claims 4-14, 17, 18, 25-28 and 30 read on the elected species.

This election is made with traverse. Since a restriction requirement is never proper unless the restricted group of claims is patentably distinct (*i.e.*, <u>inter alia</u>, nonobvious under 35 U.S.C. §103) from the elected group of claims, the Examiner is

OSTFELD et al. Appl. No. 10/074,017 February 24, 2005

requested to ensure that such patentable distinctness is present before proceeding to make the requirement final.

It is respectfully requested that the non-elected claims be retained for use with a possible divisional application.

An examination on the merits is awaited.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

Bv:

Joseph S. Presta

Reg. No. 35,329

JSP:mg

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714

Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100